UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,639	03/09/2006	Izumu Saito	Q90948	4651
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 05/24/201 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	BURKHART, MICHAEL D		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/24/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/553,639	SAITO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
	Alt Olik

	MICH	AEL BURKHART	1633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 April 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	THE REPLY FILED 25 April 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	eplies: al (with	: (1) an amendment, affidavit n appeal fee) in compliance	or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request					
	a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL		"						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because								
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	v);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) $igotimes$ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresp	onding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	6 and	41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See	attached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
i. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,5,8,9,12 and 32-36.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10, 11, 13-31</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:								
		/Michael Burkhart/						
		Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1633					
		,						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 37 is new and thus requires further consideration and search for this reason alone. Claim 1 parts (2), (3), (5) have been amended to recite limitations not found previously in the claims, e.g. the restriction sites are "not naturally present in the adenoviral genome" and they must be situated at certain sites relative to the ITRs and each other. Thus, the claims as amended require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: All of applicants arguments rely upon entry of the claim amendments. Because the amendment has not been entered, these arguments are moot.